

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.  |  |  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/001,891               | 11/19/2001                        | Jonathan J. Hull     | 015358-007400US     | 1067              |  |  |
| 20350<br>TOWNSEND        | 7590 03/26/201<br>AND TOWNSEND AN | EXAM                 | EXAMINER            |                   |  |  |
| TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER   |                                   |                      | PATEL, M/           | PATEL, MANGLESH M |  |  |
| EIGHTH FLO<br>SAN FRANCI | OR<br>SCO, CA 94111-3834          | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                   |  |  |
|                          | ,                                 | 2178                 |                     |                   |  |  |
|                          |                                   |                      |                     |                   |  |  |
|                          |                                   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE     |  |  |
|                          |                                   |                      | 03/26/2010          | PAPER             |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|-------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/001,891        | HULL ET AL.  |  |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit     |  |  |
| MANGLESH M. PATEL | 2178         |  |  |

|   | MANGLESH M. PATEL  | 2178   |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP  | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR   | ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| <ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C<br/>periods:</li> </ol>  | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance             | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>it, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the<br>(3) a Request         |
| The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE        | g date of the final rejectio   | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.196(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of elsemming the period to under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office lister may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL  | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>ension and the corresponding amount<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria<br>inally set in the final Offic                 | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the property o</li></ol> | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the   |  |
| AMENDMENTS  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>   | sideration and/or search (see NO   |  | cause                                    |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet   | er form for appeal by materially re-   | ducing or simplifying th   | ne issues for                            |
| appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  | orresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  |  | mpliant Amendment (F   | PTOL-324).                               |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  | duration file at a second second   |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | owable if submitted in a separate,   | timely filed amendmer  | nt canceling the                         |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |  | ll be entered and an ex  | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10 and 13-28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fails  | s to provide a                           |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>  | of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attache   | ed.                                      |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but   | does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan  | ce because:                              |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |

/CESAR B PAULA/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2178 The proposed amendment reflects a change in the scope to the Independent claims and raises new issues for the examiner to consider. Therefore said amendment will not be entered because said amendment would require further search and consideration by the Examiner